

The Rosco Cube Family

By Mike Wood

Now here's a company name you haven't seen in one of these reviews before: Rosco. One of the most respected and well-known companies in our industry, Rosco has been providing products for theatres since 1910. They started out with coloured filters, and are still, I would think, the best-known name for gel in the world. Now, 106 years and four Academy Awards later, Rosco is manufacturing LED-based luminaires. Although it might seem surprising, it's really a natural progression. Rosco is colour is Rosco, and if the world moves towards using additive mixing from LEDs to make those colours, then so must Rosco. I don't see gel disappearing just yet, but clearly Rosco has to have an eye to the future . . .




Figure 1: Fixtures as tested.

Rosco's first products in this arena are deliberately simple: no automation, no flashy effects, just simple lights intended as workhorse products for the areas they know best - the stage and the studio. This month I'm taking a look at the Cube range of products which were developed for Rosco in partnership with R&D company The Black Tank. The Cube range is available in three sizes, Pica, Miro and Braq, with a number of variants within each size. For this review I've tested at least one variant from each size and will present my measurements as usual.

All tests were run with the Cube models operating on a nominal 115V/60Hz supply. However, the Miro and Braq models are capable of running on any supply between 90-240VAC, 50/60Hz with automatic voltage selection on the switched mode power supplies. (Pica uses a low voltage adapter: more on that later.)

All three Cube models are variations on a theme; each uses a circular arrangement of LED emitters either in RGBW, variable white, or UV (black light) configurations. The largest product, the Braq Cube, has seven LED arrays, each of three or four emitters, the Miro Cube has four, and the Pica has a single array. I'll start with the Braq, and work my way down in size.

Light Source

I tested both the RGBW Braq Cube 4C, and tunable white Braq Cube WNC models. The 4C model uses 7 Osram Ostar Stage quad chip RGBW, where each of the RGBW groups is mounted behind a single homogenising TIR optic. *Figure 2* shows the arrangement on the main circuit board. *Figure 3* shows the view from behind the circuit board. The cooling system is interesting! The rear of the circuit board has an array of copper tubes (actually copper pipe coupling joints) soldered to the board. There are holes in the board itself which allow air to be drawn through the board, over the LEDs, and through the copper tubes via an axial fan mounted behind all the tubes. The fan is speed controlled, and very quiet. Rosco tell me that they try and avoid any speed changes in the fan as those changes are often more noticeable than a constant level noise. That's true: it's changes in noise that get your attention.

Another novel feature of the design is that the electrical connections to the board from the LED drivers are through the stand-offs which support the board. The RGBW 4C model uses five stand-offs, one each for the four LED colours, and one for the common rail. You can see these clearly in *Figure 3*



Figure 2: Braq LEDs and lenses

leading from the LED board at the top to the power supply and electronics at the bottom. This makes for a compact and neat assembly with the only visible wiring being to the fan.

The tunable white model, Braq WNC, uses a similar layout. However, it uses Cree emitters and each of the three colour temperatures of white has its own portion of the TIR optic, with no internal mixing. Figure 4 shows the triads, each one of which has a Warm, a Neutral, and a Cool white LED (thus WNC). The same cooling and electrical system is used.

The Miro and Pica sizes follow this same design model, using the same LEDs and very similar layout and cooling. The Pica differs slightly in that it uses different, slightly larger, TIR optics. The primary difference between the models is in the number of LED arrays: Braq has seven, Miro four and Pica a single array. Figure 7 shows the WNC, three whites, array in the small Pica unit. Pica has another difference, it remotes the power to a separate box, either the Pica Portal, which drives up to four Pica units, or other remote 24VDC or 12VAC supply.

Finally, the UV models (of Miro and Pica) replace the LED arrays with single UV LEDs in dedicated optics in a different array layout than the white and RGBW models. Figure 5 shows the arrangement in the Miro model. This array is then capped with a dichroic glass filter to reduce the visible light (shown in Figure 8). Rosco also offers an optional narrow cut-off glass dichroic filter that completely removes all visible light for a true black light.




Figure 3: Braq chassis

Control & Dimmer

The control offered is very simple, effectively one control channel (either 8-bit or 16-bit) for each colour or white. In 16-bit mode the dimming is smooth and follows a curve somewhere in between a linear and square law (see Figure 6). I did see some stepping in 16-bit mode at fade levels below 10%. The RGBW model also has a visible fade towards pink in its colour mixing at levels below 60%, it doesn't look as if relative colour mix levels are closely held throughout the fade. PWM rate was a very healthy 3.91kHz with all channels out of phase with each other, which can also help with video banding and rolling shutters.

The Cube models also provide a setting for introducing simulated filament lag. Three levels are offered which slow transitions down to emulate the warm up and cool down of an incandescent lamp. This works well with step changes of DMX control, but less well when driven by a slow cross-fade: that produces some visible stepping caused by aliasing between the control cross-fade and the fixture emulated lag.

Colour Mixing

Again, simplicity is the word. You have either 4-channel additive RGBW control or 3-channel, cool, neutral, and warm white. The 4-colour TIR homogenisers in the RGBW models do a reasonable job of combining the colours into a single beam. There is some visible coloured shadowing, but that can be removed by using the provided Luminix diffusion filters in the magnetically attached holder. However, there is still some slight visible shadowing from the multiple groups of LEDs, albeit all in one colour.




Figure 4: Three whites



Figure 5: Miro UV LEDs

Colour Mixing

Colour	Cyan	Magenta	Yellow	Red	Green	Blue	White	RGB
Transmission	56%	22%	66%	19%	53%	4.1%	58%	68%




Figure 6: Braq dimmer curve



Figure 7: Pica LEDs




Figure 8: Blacklight filter






Figure 9: White spectra - Warm, Neutral and Cool



Above, Figure 10: White rendering, Warm - Neutral and Cool
Left, Figure 11: RGBW spectra



You can see from the results obtained from measurements in each colour that the Cube range utilises a power budgeting system in its LED control. The four emitters run on their own provide higher outputs than is attainable when they are all on at the same time. This is a common technique used by manufacturers for heat and power management.

Colour mixing with the RGBW unit was as expected. RGB is always a little limiting, but the addition of white helps enormously with mixing pastel shades.

Figure 9 shows the spectra of the three white LEDs in the WNC models, warm on the left, neutral in the centre, and cool on the right (as evidenced by the large spike in the blue). I measured the colour temperatures of these as 2690K, 3848K, and 6206K respectively. Figure 10 shows the colour rendering for each of the whites with, as expected, the warm white having the best rendering. CQS values were 91, 81 and 68. Figure 11 shows the spectra of the RGBW emitters.

Output

The WNC variants of the three models gave outputs with all emitters at full and no diffusion fitted as follows:

Model	Field Lumens	Field Angle
Braq	5,400lm	37°
Miro	3,300lm	37°
Pica	700lm	24°

The warm white LEDs provided 23% of this output, the neutral white 36%, and the cool white 41%. The output of the RGBW units is, as expected, significantly less. I measured Braq RGBW at 1,300lm and 24° (see Figures 11-15).

These outputs were measured after running at full power for 30 minutes. The initial output drooped by around 19% over 10 minutes as the unit warmed up.

Noise

The Cube units have a fan, so some noise is produced, however it was below my noise floor of 35dBA, so I was unable to measure it. That means they are pretty quiet!

Electrical Parameters & Homing/Initialisation Time

The Braq and Miro do not have power factor correction, so the current consumed is going to be higher than you would expect. You only pay the power company for 100W for the Braq, but each unit will need to be cabled at nearly twice that. This is of particular importance if you are going to daisy chain a lot of Braq Cubes together using the PowerCon connectors. The Pica Portal does have power factor correction. Initialisation took around three seconds from a cold start (see Figure 16).



Visit us at
Prolight+Sound
Booth E50
Hall 3.0

Light is efficient

Small size, big performance

The only difference between Shakespeare's Hamlet on a big stage and Shakespeare's Hamlet on a small stage usually is – the size of the stage. But that doesn't mean you would have to abstain from a high quality production. Take lighting, for example. With the all-new KREIOS® FRESNEL and KREIOS® PROFILE, the enhanced OSRAM KREIOS® series plays a crucial part when you need a big stage experience within a compact size luminaire. So, to be big or not to be big – this is not the question anymore. Learn more about the compact and efficient OSRAM KREIOS® series at kreios.osram.com.

Light is OSRAM

OSRAM




Figure 12: Braq tunable white output




Figure 13: Miro tunable white output




Figure 14: Pica tunable white output



Figure 16: Pica Portal



Figure 17: Braq rear panel




Figure 18: Pica rear panel




Figure 15: Braq RGBW output

Power consumption at 115V, 60Hz

	Current, RMS	Power, W	VAR, VA	Power Factor
Braq - Quiescent (LEDs off)	0.12A	7W	14VA	0.49
Braq - LEDs at full	1.47A	98W	176VA	0.56
Miro - Quiescent (LEDs off)	0.06A	3W	7VA	0.49
Miro - LEDs at full	0.65A	49W	78VA	0.56
Pica - LEDs at full	0.26A	28W	31VA	0.92

(Note: Pica tests were done using the Pica Portal power supply)

Construction, Electronics & Control

I've already discussed the constructional details. It's clear that compact size was a major design point for Rosco and Black Tank. All the units are designed with size in mind. Disassembly is very simple: remove the chassis screws and the outer case slides off, revealing all components. I would imagine maintenance, if necessary, would be straightforward. Figure 17 shows the rear panel of the Braq, the Miro is almost identical with the exception of removing the PowerCon output. PowerCon connectors for AC power in and out, and standard DMX-512 5 pin XLRs. The units offer a simple menuing system with a two-line LCD display and control buttons providing the ability to set the DMX address, choose operating mode and so on. The Cube range offers stand-alone and master-slave operation as well as normal DMX control.

The Pica is a little different as it uses an external power supply of some kind, either a wall wart or the Pica Portal. The Portal can also be used for DMX distribution to a number of Pica. When using the Portal, a single RJ45 connector is used for both DMX and power distribution and daisy chaining. There is also the option to feed power separately through a pair of terminals on the rear panel. The Pica provides a configuration system offering the same features as its larger siblings, however there is no display and set-up is accessed through two buttons, a rotary selector, and a row of LEDs. I have to admit I found this a bit fiddly to operate, but I understand the problem - there's a lot crammed on a very small panel (see Figure 18).

There you have it, my first review of a Rosco product, although I'm sure it won't be the last. Rosco has taken an interesting step with the Cube range. Clearly designed to be as small, quiet, and compact as possible so that they can be hidden on set, but also unobtrusive enough that they can be used for architectural applications. By doing this Rosco don't try and compete head-on with some of the more established luminaire manufacturers. Instead they try and establish a niche for themselves in the area they know best, the stage floor. Have they succeeded? I hope I've given you enough information to determine if you should try a Cube out for yourself.



Mike Wood provides technical, design and intellectual property consulting services to the entertainment technology industry. He can be contacted at mike@mikewoodconsulting.com

ABTT	111
Acoustic Development Ltd	94
Adam Hall	63
Adamson Systems	39
AED / High End Systems	61
Altman Lighting	49
Arkaos	91
Aura Audio	35
Avolites	23
Ayrton	9
B&K Braun	43
Cadac	99
Cast Software	58
Chainmaster	5
Chauvet	53
Clay Paky	30
Clear-Com	93
d&b audiotechnik	OBC
Doughty	94
DPA	37
Eaton / Zero 88	71
Elation	97
EM Acoustics	11
ENTTEC	84
ETC	13/15
Focusrite	79
Funktion One	19/21
GDS	45
Gearsource	36
Green Hippo	89
Handheld Audio	31
Highlite	57
Impact Productions	58
L-Acoustics	IFC
Le Maitre	95
Lee Filters	4
Leisuretec	IBC
Look Solutions	6
LSC	77
Lumen Radio	33
MDG	70
Meyer Sound	7
Milos	81
NEXO	47
Osram	117
Pearce Hire	8
Philips Entertainment Lighting	3
Philips Special Lighting	85
Pioneer	83
PLASA Events	56
Precise Audio	113
Pro Tapes	69
Production Park / Brilliant Stages	55
Prolyte	48/73
RC4	103
RCF	59
Rigging Services	110
Robe	17
Robert Juliat	107
Rubber Box	16
Sennheiser	100
Shure	25
SNP Productions	110
Straightpoint	113
Syncrolite	101
Triple E	67
Yamaha	68